# Community Governance Review 17/11/2025



### POLICY AND RESOURCES COMMITTEE 17 November 2025

### PART I

# Community Governance Review (ADCCC)

## 1. Summary

1.1 Following a request from Members, this report seeks the views of Members on whether to undertake a review of some of the unparished areas of Three Rivers District Council, and if so, to agree the suggested terms of reference.

### 2. Recommendation

- 2.1 That Policy and Resources Committee determines whether to undertake a Community Governance Review relating to the specified unparished areas of the district.
- 2.2 Should the Committee agree to undertake a Community Governance Review, to agree to the terms of reference attached at appendix A.

### 3. Details

- 3.1 Through the Local Government and Public Involvement in Health Act 2007, district councils were given the power to amend parish council boundaries within their area. This is known as a "Community Governance Review" (CGR). There are two situations where this may occur: because the Council decides to do so, or it receives a petition with sufficient public support.
- 3.2 Members will be aware that on 21 July 2025 Policy and Resources Committee considered undertaking a CGR for all of the unparished areas of the district. At that time the Committee agreed not to progress a CGR. However, since which time Chorleywood Parish Council made a formal request that the Council consider undertaking a CGR to specific areas of the unparished areas of the district. This was agreed by the Policy and Resources Committee on 8 September 2025. This review is currently ongoing and does not form part of this report.
- 3.3 Therefore, there remains one area of Three Rivers District Council that is unparished and not going through a Community Governance Review. In accordance with Rule 22 of the Council Procedure Rules, ten Members of the Council have confirmed that they wish the Committee to again consider a Community Governance Review for the remaining unparished area. The ten Members are as follows:
- 3.3.1 Councillor Stephen Giles-Medhurst

Councillor Sarah Nelmes

Councillor Louise Price

Councillor Chris Whately-Smith

Councillor Paul Rainbow

Councillor Steve Drury

Councillor Raj Khiroya

Councillor Kevin Raeburn

Councillor Elinor Gazzard

### Councillor Jon Tankard

- 3.4 If the Council does elect to undertake a CGR, the first step is to agree terms of reference, which sets out how the review may be undertaken. A phase of consultation follows, after which the Council decides what to do. It could decide to make no changes; make changes to a parish boundary; create a new parish or change warding arrangements in a parish.
- 3.5 If changes are proposed, consideration then needs to be given to any consequential matters; this depends on the nature of the changes but can include matters such as division of assets, the number of councillors in each Parish and when the changes shall come into effect.
- 3.6 If it elects to undertake the review, the Council is required to have regard to the guidance issued by Secretary of State and Local Government Boundary Commission for England. This requires the Council to undertake consultation with local government electors in the area, and any other parties we consider interested in it.
- 3.7 The guidance, although primarily focused on the creation of new parishes, sets out the type of factors that should be taken into account in determining these factors. References in legislation to a 'parish' also include a parish which has an alternative style (such as 'town', 'village' or 'community' council) and parish meetings.
- 3.8 The timeframes involved in reviews can vary significantly. If the review is very straightforward, it can be concluded within a year; more complex reviews, usually those involving the division of existing parishes or creation of new parishes, can take upwards of two years. The process works as follows:
- 3.8.1 The District Council publishes terms of reference setting out how the review will occur and commences a period of consultation.
- 3.8.2 Once the consultation concludes, the District Council makes recommendations this is often followed by a secondary consultation if the Council believes changes are required.
- 3.8.3 The District Council meets to make final recommendations and agree the reorganisation order (as the reorganisation order includes consequential amendments, in some cases this will come at a later date than the final recommendations).
- 3.9 Only the Full Council can agree the final recommendations.
- 3.10 The proposed terms of reference for the CGR are deliberately broad, allowing for a review of all aspects of community governance within the unparished council area. This includes, for example, the creation or naming of a parish, the establishment of a separate parish from an existing parish, alteration of parish boundaries, abolition or dissolution of a parish, change to parish electoral arrangements or parish grouping.
- 3.11 In the event that the Policy and Resources Committee agrees to commence a review, potential terms of reference are included at appendix A for approval. These have been developed in line with terms used by other councils, helping the public to understand what the review is and why it's being undertaken.

3.12 The overall recommended timescales for the CGR are as follows:

Policy & Resources Committee agree CGR and	17 November 2025
terms of reference	
Consultation les sins	24 November 2025
Consultation begins	24 November 2025
Consultation ends	26 January 2026
Policy & Resources Committee agree draft	9 March 2026
proposals	
Consultation on draft proposals begin (if	16 March 2026
required)	
Consultation on draft proposals ends	27 April 2026
Final proposals considered by Policy &	29 June 2026
Resources Committee	
Final proposals agreed by Council	14 July 2026
Reorganisation order made (inc any	TBC
consequential matters)	
New boundaries come into effect	1 April 2027
First elections based on new boundaries	6 May 2027

3.13 Members will note that the time period for the first consultation is longer than the current Community Governance Review being undertaken but this is to allow for extra time over the Christmas period.

# 4. Options and Reasons for Recommendations

4.1. There are two options available to the Policy and Resources Committee at this stage: to undertake the review, or not to undertake the review.

### 5. Policy/Budget Reference and Implications

- 5.1 The recommendations in this report are within the Council's agreed policy and budgets.
- 5.2 The recommendations in this report do not impact on the achievement of specific performance indicators

### 6. Legal Implications

- 6.1 Section 82 of the Local Government and Public Involvement in Health Act 2007 gives district councils the power to undertake Community Governance Reviews of its area. At this stage, the Council is not obliged to undertake a review, but may elect to do so; if it does, it must comply with both the law and the statutory guidance.
- 6.2 The proposed terms of reference have been developed in line with the guidance. Section 81 of the Act states it is for the Council to decide the terms of reference of any CGR which the Council is to undertake and it must then publish them.
- 6.3 A District Council that is undertaking a review, must notify the County Council that the review for its area is to be undertaken and the terms of reference (including any modifications to those terms), as per section 79(3) of the above Act.

- 7. Financial, Staffing, Equal Opportunities, Environmental, Community Safety, Public Health, Customer Services Centre, Communications & Website, Risk Management and Health & Safety Implications
- 7.1 None specific.

Report prepared by: Kimberley Grout, Associate Director – Corporate, Customer & Community (Interim Monitoring Officer)

# **Data Quality**

Data sources: Maps within Appendix A have been produced by the Council's GIS officer from its mapping system

Data checked by: GIS officer Data rating: Sufficient

**Appendix A** – Proposed terms of reference for Community Governance Review, including maps of relevant areas.

